

App F IX-4

Previous References

td 10 29th 42416

1930

(ml)

File No. 33-20

Serial Nos. 1, 2 & 4

Later References

PRESERVED

MS 35-36 also 345

33-30 - 7, 11, 16 & 26

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for Sep 20

SUBJECT.

Confirmation of Mr. Hyder Ali Khan
as Sanitary Inspector of the
Chennapattana Municipality.

SL 4) The D. P. Bangalore
The Controller
The Rev. Com.
L 2197-9 & 9-9-20

Accepts the recommendation
of the Rev. Com.

Ine
14/11

Serial
No

1. From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, letter No.C.2.1607/29-30 dated 23rd August 1930, solicits orders of Government in the matter of the proposal of the Channapatna Municipal Council to give a pay of Rs.60/- plus 10/- to Mr. Hyder Ali Khan, Sanitary Inspector.

2. From the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, letter No.Drs.C.Per.98/30-31 dated 23rd August 1930, forwards copy of the above.

The question relates to the proposal to give one Mr. Hyderalli Khan appointed as Sanitary Inspector in the Chennapatna Municipality ~~on~~ a pay of Rs.60/-. In consultation with the Revenue Commissioner orders -- were issued directing ^{the} ~~his~~ reversion ^{of this officer} to his permanent appointment as Revenue Inspector and ~~that the vacancy~~ ^{in the vacancy of} be utilized ~~for absorbing~~ one of the thrownout Sanitary Inspectors.

2) The Deputy Commissioner says that "it is represented" to him that the Revenue Commissioner has recommended to Government that this Official may be -- treated as acting and that he may be provided in the next vacancy of Sanitary Inspector etc. There has been no such recommendation from the Revenue Commissioner.

3) The Revenue Commissioner in forwarding copy of Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 23rd August 1930 states that his recommendation to revert the official to ^{the} Revenue Department was made on the information

information furnished by the Deputy Commissioner and that on the facts now represented he has no objection to the confirmation of the official in the Municipality.

4.) From the records, it was gathered that --- Hyder Alikhan who was a Revenue Inspector on Rs.40/- plus 10/- was taken as Village Panchayet Inspector on Rs.60/- in February 1927. On the abolition of the -- appointments of Village Panchayet Inspectors in July 1929 this Official was appointed as permanent Sanitary -- Inspector in a vacancy advertised for the purpose. As a Revenue Inspector he was drawing Rs.40/- permanently and as Village Panchayet Inspector Rs.60/- temporarily. On the representation of the Official, the Municipal -- Council of Channapatna are reported to have approved of his being given a pay of Rs.60/-. It was when this recommendation came to Government that it was noticed that the appointment of the Official as permanent --- Sanitary Inspector was not regular.

5.) In para 6 of Government Order No.L.3059-124 L.B.25-26-14 dated 12th November 1926, Government had directed that ~~xxx~~ such of the staff of the District and Taluk Boards as could not be utilized under the new District Boards should be absorbed as far as possible in existing vacancies in the District. among Sanitary Inspectors should have in consonance with the spirit of the orders already issued been filled up by Sanitary Inspectors under the District Board and the places should not have been permanently filled up by others when it was well known that the old Sanitary Inspectors who were working as Village Panchayet Inspectors would be thrown out sooner or later.

6.) The Official in question was appointed --- permanently as Sanitary Inspector though he had a lien

x Since returned
to the Dy Comm

"K"

Serial
No

lien on his appointment in the Revenue Department.
The Revenue Commissioner has reported that there are
14 Sanitary Inspectors to be still provided for. In
another connection the Deputy Commissioner has re-
ported that this Official has since been transferred
from the Channapatna Municipality to the Bangalore
District Board.

The questions for consideration are.-

(1) whether the orders already conveyed that
the Official be reverted to the Revenue Department
be cancelled,


(2) what pay the official should be allowed to
draw under the District Board if he is to be retained
as a Sanitary Inspector,

(3) whether, as a special case, the official may
be allowed to draw a pay of Rs.60/- for the period --
during which he worked in the Channapatna Municipality.

The Official has not passed the Sanitary
test but was exempted from passing it (please see
para 8 of Ml. File. 10-29). Sanitary Inspectors with full
qualifications usually start on Rs. 55/- and ^{rise} ~~now~~ to a
maximum pay of Rs. 87/- by annual increments of Rs. 2/-
up to Rs. 65- and then by biennial increments of Rs. 5/- up
to 87 (vide go. no. R 16474 / 184-16-23 & 24/19)
Submitted for orders.

placed below

Flag "L"



NOTE—Contn Sheet

mb 48
219

1st Member)

3.9.30

The Re's present recommendation
not to disturb by de x ali Khan may
be accepted

881
379/3

Draft

me File No 33

of 193 0

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Draft subo

sub 4/9 (53 Gen Secy) 153
VSS 6.9.30

(61)

To the DC. Bangalore, Ampt, Re 4
L 2197-9 D 9.9.30

Accept the recommendation
of the Rev Commr

RECEIVED
SECRETARY
GENERAL AND REVENUE
DEPARTMENT
BANGALORE
9.9.30

B
1529
L

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

A visitor desiring to see any officer is requested to send up his name, stating the nature of his business

Name Mr Abdul-Haleem

Whom he wishes to see to the Genl Secy - - -

Business

about the effort made connected file containing the
 of his brother -
 My dear Sir -
 (1128) min² since recd from the D.C.
 will also be worked up and submitted,

sub 4/9/34 These have since come back with orders
 5.9.30

R - Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Please see file no
 5741222 Gen. Secy)
 6.9.30

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record.]

N.B—Should routine notes be written on small slips
the notes must be copied or slips pasted on
this form

41 33-30-1

In all future communications
please quote
No C2-1607/29-30.
Enc (one)

Tel Address — "DEPCOM"
Post Box No 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd August 1930

FROM

A.K. Syed Iqbal Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

2986
REV. REC
25/8/30

TO

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

101
25/8/30
2578130

SIR,

Subject — The pay of Mr. Hyderalikhan, Sanitary Inspector,
Chennapatna Municipality.


In enclosing herewith a copy of my letter No. C2- 1607/29-30 dated 18th July 1930 addressed to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore in reply to a reference made by him in the matter, I have the honour to state that it is represented that there has been a recommendation from the Revenue Commissioner to the Government that his appointment may be regarded as acting and that he may be provided in the next vacancy as Sanitary Inspector. If it is a fact, there seems to be some misunderstanding as regards the appointment which Mr. Hyderalikhan was holding in Chennapatna Municipality. This appointment was advertised under the C.R.B. Rules as a permanent vacancy and the applicant who was then a Panchayet Inspector in the Kolar District applied for it after getting exemption from the Government from passing the Sanitary tests and was appointed permanently as a Sanitary Inspector of the Chennapatna Municipality. The question of his increment was under the consideration of the said Municipal Council and they have resolved that he should get Rs 60/- (plus Rs 10/- (Cycle allowance)), the pay which he was drawing as a Panchayet Inspector. It was only the question of increment that was under consideration and not his appointment which had been made permanent.

Under these circumstances, I think that if the Revenue

p.t.o.

Commissioner has made a recommendation as stated above, it will not only act as a hardship on the incumbent, but will not be supported ~~to~~ by facts. Hence I request you to kindly examine the case in the light of all these circumstances and obtain early orders of Government in the matter. I have sent a copy of this letter to the Revenue Commissioner for his information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Letter No. C2-1607/29-30 dated 18th June 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your docket No. C.4687/29-30 dated 7th June 1930 re. the appointment of Mr. Hyder Alikhan as a permanent Sanitary Inspector in Chennapatna Municipality on Rs 60/-plus 10/- I have the honour to state that the official has been exempted from passing the Sanitary tests, and has been appointed through the Central Recruitment Board.

As regards the other point raised in your letter whether there are no Sanitary Inspectors doing duty as Panchayet Inspectors to be provided for on the 1st July 1930, I am to state that, as far as possible, all such officials have now been provided for. A separate reference is made to you on the subject.

Meanwhile, this official has also been transferred to the District Board and what is now wanted is permission to draw for the official a salary at Rs 60 plus 10/- per mensem for the period of his stay in the Municipality and to start him on Rs 60/-. The Municipality have appreciated his services and have resolved to pay him at that rate.

I request you to kindly obtain the orders of the Government in the matter. The connected records accompany.

"Copy


Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SS/-.

11 33-30-2

In all future communications
please quote
No C2-1607/29-30.
Enc

Tel Address — DEPCOM
Post Box No 34

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT

Dated, Bangalore, the 23rd August 1930

FROM K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

2974
1500
GEN 25 AUG 1930

SIR,

Subject — the pay of Mr. Hyderalikhan, Sanitary Inspector,
Chennapatna Municipality.

In enclosing herewith a copy of my letter no. C2- 1607/29-30 dated 18th July 1930 addressed to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore in reply to a reference made by him in the matter, I have the honour to state that it is represented that there has been a recommendation from the Revenue Commissioner to the Government that his appointment may be regarded as acting and that he may be provided in the next vacancy as Sanitary Inspector. If it is a fact, there seems to be some misunderstanding as regards the appointment which Mr. Hyderalikhan was holding in Chennapatna Municipality. This appointment was advertised under the C.R.B. Rules as a permanent vacancy and the applicant who was then a Panchayet Inspector in the Kodur District applied for it after getting exemption from the Government from passing the Sanitary tests and was appointed ~~permanently~~ as a Sanitary Inspector of the Chennapatna Municipality. The question of his increment was under the consideration of the said Municipal Council and they have resolved that he should get Rs 60/- (plus Rs 10/- (Cycle allowance)), the pay which he was drawing as a Panchayet Inspector. It was only the question of increment that was under consideration and not his appointment which had been made permanent.

Under these circumstances, I think that if the Revenue

p.t.o.

PC

Commissioner has made a recommendation as stated above, it will not only act as a hardship on the incumbent, but will not be supported by facts. Hence I request you to kindly examine the case in the light of all these circumstances and obtain early orders of Government in the matter. I have sent a copy of this letter to the Revenue Commissioner for his information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


Sd/- A.K. Syed Taj Meeran,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, in continuation of this office No. C2-1607/29-30 dated 18-7-1930.

A. K. Syed Taj Meeran

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.



ND 7. C 8 P / 30-31
25/8/30
SS/-

forwarded to the General Secretary
with compliments

My recommendation was
based on the information furnished
by the Deputy Commissioner in the
fact and stated I have no
objection to the recommendation of
Hydar Ali Khan in the minority

23-8-30

P. H. K. Rao
PC

App F VI G-2]
SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

the () 192

Date of issue

9/9/30

Signed by

Mr V. S. Sastri

ab

May this be

- i Printed?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette,
English Part ?
- iv translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

no c₂ 1607/29 30 & 23⁸
30

Sec ml

File No 33-30

Serial No 4

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read -

Letter no 2 1682/mv 10-29
56 & 25 8.30 from the Gen
Secy to Govt to the D. C
Bangalore & directing the
reversion of Mr Hyder Ali Khan
Sany Insp^r, Channarayana, to his
present appointment as Rev Insp,
Kolar &

Order Thereon No.

2) Memo no 17 Dis
Per C₂/30-31 & 23.8.30 from
the R_C in Mysore forwarding
Copy of Bangalore & Des
letter in the matter of the
reversion of Mr Hyder Ali Khan
as Sany Insp^r and stating
that he has no objection to
the Confirmation of the official
as Sany Insp^r in the
communication P-0

Order no 2 2187-9 8/9/30

Govt are pleased to accept
the recommendation of the
Rev. Commr.

VS 6.9-30 - 6/9/30 - R8

6.9 for Gen Secy to Govt

MS

To The D. C. Bangalore District.

The Compt^y to Govt

The Rev Commr in Mysore.

List of enclosure

Copied by

1/11/30

Examined by

